# MINUTES STORMWATER UTILITY AD HOC COMMITTEE TENTH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM -- CITY HALL THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 23 -- 6:00 PM

#### MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 6:00 PM

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Councilmember Paul Novak, Chair Jim Ecklund, Industrial Council Bill Vincent, Commercial Rep. Rick Kibbey, 300 Shepard Cinda Eltzroth, 600 E. Holmes Liz Hassler, Labor Council Gretchen Couraud, LRCC Lloyd Teets, Citizen's Representative

#### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

Bob Swanson, Finance Director Bob Clegg, City Engineer Doug Rubley, Finance Suresh Sangal, McNamee, Porter & Seely

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS:**

None

#### DISCUSSION/ACTION:

The staff and consultant developed information requested by the Committee on February 16, 1995. This information was distributed to the Committee members during this meeting and was labeled as ATTACHMENT B. Doug Rubley presented this information on overheads and answered questions.

The following new questions were raised:

- 1. Provide a graph that superimposes inflated cost for the stormwater system assuming bonding CSO component and cash payment for CSO component costs (Fig. B1 vs. B2). Provide the same graph using current dollar estimates (Fig. B3 vs. B4).
- 2. Break down the administrative billing into current administrative cost, and the new administrative costs if we proceed with a new

stormwater enterprise program. Estimate the cost swing for alternative billing systems.

- 3. Analyze a level annual revenue requirement payment assuming bond funding (Fig. B1). Level annual revenue requirement means once the initial revenue is established (revenue needs will grow at the same rate as inflation).
- 4. What is the justification for financing the CSO control plan costs on a 50% General Fund, 50 % Sewage Fund basis.
- 5. a. Assume we use bond financing to pay for CSO for the storm sewer share of the CSO program. What rates will be charged to provide sufficient revenue to fund CSO costs and administration.
  - b. What rates will we charge to fund the total cost of the Storm Sewer Program as shown in the report.
- 6. Indicate how much of the property in the City of Lansing is not subject to property tax that would be subject to a stormwater utility fee if the Committee was to suggest that such a fee be imposed.

The Committee discussed alternatives available for rescuing funds necessary for the Stormwater Utility Program.

#### **OTHER**

None

#### **ADJOURN**

The Committee adjourned

Respectfully submitted,

Terese Horn Senior Secretary

Approved by the Committee.

### Signed by:

## PAUL NOVAK

DATE

CHAIR

/th

Appropriate documents attached.